

January 28, 1975

Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: I'd like to move for the adoption of the committee amendments. OK, I'll move for the adoption of the first committee amendment. What the bill does is simply give the power to remove litter to cities of the first and second class and the amendments are to provide for a fairly tight procedure of notice so that the land owner will be notified that the litter would be removed and the type of notice. The first amendment would make it a rather personal service, certified mail would be one procedure for notice. So I move for the adoption of that amendment.

PRESIDENT: Discussion. The question is shall Senator Fowler's amendment to 117 be adopted. Record your vote. Have you voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: OK. The second committee amendment simply puts the same language in with regards to notice as we did previously so that both first and second class cities would have the same procedure of notice. I'd move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion. The question is shall the second amendment to LB 117 be adopted. Record your vote. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 34 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: Are there further amendments to 117. Senator Koch, what shall we do with 117?

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, I move that LB 117 be moved to E & R as amended.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion. The question is shall LB 117 as amended be advanced to E & R initial. Record your vote. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 33 ayes, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: LB 117 as amended is so advanced.

CLERK: LB 162 introduced by Senator Wiltse. Mr. President, Senator Wiltse is absent today.

PRESIDENT: 162 shall be laid over.

CLERK: LB 79 introduced by Senator Cal Carsten of the 2nd District. Read title. There are no committee amendments or other amendments.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I move LB 79 be advanced to E & R initial. LB 79 is a very simple bill and what it does is grant a permanent permit to all residents over 70 years of age who now get free permits to hunt and fish. It does seem that it's unnecessary for these people to have to every year come and ask for a new application. They could just as well be issued once and be done with it. They are still under the rules and regulations of the state as they are at the present time. There is no impact. There is no loss of revenue but there would be